arXiv:quant-ph/0304077v1 10 Apr 2003

Von Neumann Measurement is Optimal for Detecting Linearly Independent Mixed Quantum States

Yonina C. Eldar*
Technion—Israel Institute of Technology, Technion City, Haifa 32000, Israel

(Dated: February 1, 2008)

We consider the problem of designing a measurement to minimize the probability of a detection error when distinguishing between a collection of possibly non-orthogonal mixed quantum states. We show that if the quantum state ensemble consists of linearly independent density operators then the optimal measurement is an orthogonal Von Neumann measurement consisting of mutually orthogonal projection operators and not a more general positive operator-valued measure.

PACS numbers: 03.67.Hk

I. INTRODUCTION

One of the important features of quantum mechanics is that non orthogonal quantum states cannot be perfectly distinguished [1]. Therefore, a fundamental problem in quantum mechanics is to design measurements optimized to distinguish between a collection of nonorthogonal quantum states.

We consider a quantum state ensemble consisting of m density operators $\{\rho_i, 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ on an n-dimensional complex Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, with prior probabilities $\{p_i > 0, 1 \leq i \leq m\}$. A density operator ρ is a positive semidefinite (PSD) Hermitian operator with $\mathrm{Tr}(\rho) = 1$; we write $\rho \geq 0$ to indicate ρ is PSD. A mixed state ensemble is one in which at least one of the density operators ρ_i has rank larger than one. A pure-state ensemble is one in which each density operator ρ_i is a rank-one projector $|\phi_i\rangle\langle\phi_i|$, where the vectors $|\phi_i\rangle$, though evidently normalized to unit length, are not necessarily orthogonal.

In a quantum detection problem a transmitter conveys classical information to a receiver using a quantum-mechanical channel. Each message is represented by preparing the quantum channel in one of the ensemble states ρ_i. At the receiver, the information is detected by subjecting the channel to a quantum measurement in order to determine the state prepared. If the quantum states are mutually orthogonal, then the state can be determined correctly with probability one by performing an optimal Von Neumann measurement [1] consisting of m mutually orthogonal projection operators $\{\Pi_i\Pi_j = \delta_{ij}\Pi_i, 1 \leq i, j \leq m\}$ that form a resolution of the identity on $\mathcal{H}$ so that $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \Pi_i = I$.

If the given states are not orthogonal, then no measurement will distinguish perfectly between them. Our problem is therefore to construct a measurement that minimizes the probability of a detection error. It is well known that the most efficient way of obtaining information about the state of a quantum system is not always by performing orthogonal projections [2, 3], but rather by performing more general positive operator-valued measures (POVMs). A POVM consists of m PSD Hermitian operators $\{\Pi_i, 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ that form a resolution of the identity on $\mathcal{H}$ but are not constrained to be projection operators.

Necessary and sufficient conditions for an optimum measurement maximizing the probability of correct detection have been developed [4, 5, 6]. However, in general obtaining a closed form expression for the optimal measurement directly from these conditions is a difficult and unsolved problem. Closed-form analytical expressions for the optimal measurement have been derived for several special cases [7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12].

Kennedy [13] showed that for a pure state ensemble with linearly independent vectors $|\phi_i\rangle$ the optimal measurement is a Von Neumann measurement consisting of mutually orthogonal rank-one projection operators. However, this o,plication has not been proven for the more general case of *mixed* state ensembles.

In Section III we show that the optimal measurement for distinguishing between a set of linearly independent mixed quantum states is a Von Neumann measurement and not a general POVM. Therefore, when seeking the optimal measurement, we may restrict our attention to the class of Von Neumann measurements. We also show that the rank of each projection operator is equal to the rank of the corresponding density operator.

In Section IV we consider the least-squares measurement (LSM) [11], also known as the square-root measurement [14, 15], which is a detection measurement that has many desirable properties and has been employed in many settings. We show that for linearly independent mixed state ensembles the LSM reduces to a Von Neumann measurement.

In the next section we present our detection problem and summarize results from [6] pertaining to the conditions on the optimal measurement operators.

II. OPTIMAL DETECTION OF QUANTUM STATES

Assume that a quantum channel is prepared in a quantum state drawn from a collection of given states represented by density operators $\{\rho_i, 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ in an n-dimensional complex Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. We assume without loss of generality that the eigenvectors of $\rho_i, 1 \leq i \leq m$, collectively span[20] $\mathcal{H}$.

---

*URL: `http://www.ee.technion.ac.il/Sites/People/YoninaEldar/`; Electronic address: yonina@ee.technion.ac.il

Since each density operator ρ_i is Hermitian and PSD, it can be expressed via the eigendecomposition as $\rho_i = \phi_i \phi_i^*$ where ϕ_i is an $n \times r_i$ matrix of orthogonal eigenvectors $\{|\phi_{ik}\rangle, 1 \leq k \leq r_i\}$ and $r_i = \mathrm{rank}(\rho_i)$. The density operators $\rho_i, 1 \leq i \leq m$ are *linearly independent* if the eigenvectors $\{|\phi_{ik}\rangle, 1 \leq k \leq r_i, 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ form a linearly independent set of vectors. Since the eigenvectors of $\rho_i, 1 \leq i \leq m$ collectively span the n-dimensional space $\mathcal{H}$, it follows that for linearly independent state sets

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} r_i = n. \tag{1}$$

At the receiver, the constructed measurement comprises m measurement operators $\{\Pi_i, 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ on $\mathcal{H}$ that satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_i &\geq 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq m; \\ \sum_{i=1}^{m} \Pi_i &= I_n, \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where I_n is the identity operator on $\mathcal{H}$. We seek the measurement operators $\{\Pi_i, 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ satisfying (2) that maximize the probability of correct detection which is given by

$$P_d = \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i \mathrm{Tr}(\rho_i \Pi_i), \tag{3}$$

where $p_i > 0$ is the prior probability of ρ_i, with $\sum_i p_i = 1$.

It was shown in [5, 6] that a set of measurement operators $\{\widehat{\Pi}_i, 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ maximizes the probability of correct detection for a state set $\{\rho_i, 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ with prior probabilities $\{p_i, 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ if and only if there exists an Hermitian $\widehat{X}$ satisfying

$$\widehat{X} \geq p_i \rho_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq m, \tag{4}$$

such that

$$(\widehat{X} - p_i \rho_i)\widehat{\Pi}_i = 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq m. \tag{5}$$

The matrix $\widehat{X}$ can be determined as the solution to the problem

$$\min_{X \in \mathcal{B}} \mathrm{Tr}(X) \tag{6}$$

where $\mathcal{B}$ is the set of Hermitian operators on $\mathcal{H}$, subject to

$$X \geq p_i \rho_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq m. \tag{7}$$

As shown in [6], the conditions (4) and (5) together imply that

$$t_i \leq r_i, \tag{8}$$

where $t_i = \mathrm{rank}(\widehat{\Pi}_i)$.

Kennedy [13] showed that for pure state ensembles $\rho_i = |\phi_i\rangle\langle\phi_i|$ with linearly independent vectors $|\phi_i\rangle$ the optimal measurement is a rank-one measurement $\widehat{\Pi}_i = |\mu_i\rangle\langle\mu_i|$ with orthonormal vectors $|\mu_i\rangle$, *i.e.,* a Von Neumann measurement. However, this implication has not been proven for mixed states. In the following section we use the conditions for optimality to prove that the optimal measurement for linearly independent mixed states is a Von Neumann measurement and not a more general POVM.

III. LINEARLY INDEPENDENT STATE ENSEMBLES

Suppose now that the density operators ρ_i are linearly independent and let $\widehat{\Pi}_i$ be the optimal measurement operators that maximize (3) subject to (2). Denoting $\Pi = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \widehat{\Pi}_i$ we have that

$$\mathrm{rank}(\Pi) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathrm{rank}(\widehat{\Pi}_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} t_i. \tag{9}$$

Since $\Pi = I_n$ we also have

$$\mathrm{rank}(\Pi) = n, \tag{10}$$

from which we conclude that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} t_i \geq n. \tag{11}$$

Combining (11) with (8) and (1) we conclude that

$$t_i = r_i. \tag{12}$$

Therefore, via the eigendecomposition we can express each measurement operator $\widehat{\Pi}_i$ as $\widehat{\Pi}_i = \mu_i \mu_i^*$ where μ_i is an $n \times r_i$ matrix of orthogonal eigenvectors $\{|\mu_{ik}\rangle, 1 \leq k \leq r_i\}$. Since $\sum_{i=1}^{m} r_i = n$ we have n vectors $|\mu_{ik}\rangle$. In addition, from (2),

$$\sum_{ik} |\mu_{ik}\rangle\langle\mu_{ik}| = I_n \tag{13}$$

from which we conclude that the vectors $\{|\mu_{ik}\rangle, 1 \leq k \leq r_i, 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ are linearly independent.

We now show that the vectors $\{|\mu_{ik}\rangle, 1 \leq k \leq r_i, 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ are mutually orthonormal. From (2) we have that for any $1 \leq l \leq r_i, 1 \leq j \leq m$,

$$|\mu_{jl}\rangle = \sum_{ik} \langle\mu_{ik}|\mu_{jl}\rangle|\mu_{ik}\rangle. \tag{14}$$

Since the vectors $|\mu_{ik}\rangle$ are linearly independent, we must have that $\langle\mu_{ik}|\mu_{jl}\rangle = \delta_{ij,kl}$.

We conclude that

$$\widehat{\Pi}_i = \sum_{k=1}^{r_i} |\mu_{ik}\rangle\langle\mu_{ik}| = P_{\mathcal{S}_i} \tag{15}$$

where $P_{\mathcal{S}_i}$ is an orthogonal projection onto a subspace $\mathcal{S}_i$ of $\mathcal{H}$ with dimension r_i and

$$P_{\mathcal{S}_i} P_{\mathcal{S}_j} = \delta_{ij} P_{\mathcal{S}_i}, \tag{16}$$

so that $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{S}_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathcal{S}_m$ is the direct sum of the subspaces $\mathcal{S}_i$.

We summarize our results in the following theorem:

Theorem 1. *Let $\{\rho_i, 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ be a quantum state ensemble consisting of linearly independent density operators ρ_i with prior probabilities $p_i > 0$. Then the optimal measurement is a Von Neumann measurement with measurement operators $\{\widehat{\Pi}_i = P_{\mathcal{S}_i}, 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ where $P_{\mathcal{S}_i}$ is an orthogonal projection onto an r_i-dimensional subspace $\mathcal{S}_i$ of $\mathcal{H}$ with $r_i = rank(\rho_i)$ and $P_{\mathcal{S}_i} P_{\mathcal{S}_j} = \delta_{ij} P_{\mathcal{S}_i}$.*

IV. LEAST-SQUARES MEASUREMENT

A suboptimal measurement that has been employed as a detection measurement in many applications is the least-squares measurement (LSM) [11, 16], also known as the square-root measurement [10, 14, 15, 17, 18, 19]. The LSM has many desirable properties. Its construction is relatively simple; it can be determined directly from the given collection of states; it minimizes the probability of a detection error for pure and mixed state ensembles that exhibit certain symmetries [6, 11]; it is "pretty good" when the states to be distinguished are equally likely and almost orthogonal [14]; and it is asymptotically optimal [15, 16].

The LSM corresponding to a set of density operators $\{\rho_i = \phi_i \phi_i^*, 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ with eigenvectors that collectively span $\mathcal{H}$ and prior probabilities $\{p_i, 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ consists of the measurement operators $\{\Sigma_i = \mu_i \mu_i^*, 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ where [11, 16]

$$\mu_i = (\Psi\Psi^*)^{-1/2} \psi_i. \tag{17}$$

Here Ψ is the matrix of (block) columns $\psi_i = \sqrt{p_i}\phi_i$ and $(\cdot)^{1/2}$ is the unique Hermitian square root of the corresponding matrix. Note that since the eigenvectors of the $\{\rho_i\}$ collectively span $\mathcal{H}$, the columns of the $\{\psi_i\}$ also together span $\mathcal{H}$, so $\Psi\Psi^*$ is invertible.

We now show that the LSM satisfies the conditions of Theorem 1 so that if the columns of $\{\phi_i\}$ are linearly independent, then $\Sigma_i \Sigma_j = \Sigma_i \delta_{ij}$ and the LSM is a Von Neumann measurement.

From (17) we have that

$$\Sigma_i \Sigma_j = (\Psi\Psi^*)^{-1/2} \psi_i \psi_i^* (\Psi\Psi^*)^{-1} \psi_j \psi_j^* (\Psi\Psi^*)^{-1/2}. \tag{18}$$

To simplify (18) we express ψ_i as

$$\psi_i = \Psi E_i. \tag{19}$$

Here E_i is an $n \times r_i$ matrix where the qth column of E_i has one nonzero element equal to 1 in the pth position with $p = \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} r_k + q$. We then have that

$$\psi_i^* (\Psi\Psi^*)^{-1} \psi_j = E_i^* \Psi^* (\Psi\Psi^*)^{-1} \Psi E_j. \tag{20}$$

If the density operators $\{\rho_i\}$ are linearly independent, then $\sum_i r_i = n$ and the operators $\{\psi_i\}$ are also linearly independent. Since each matrix ψ_i has dimension $n \times r_i$ we conclude that Ψ is a $n \times n$ matrix with linearly independent columns and therefore invertible. Thus, $\Psi^* (\Psi\Psi^*)^{-1} \Psi = I_n$ and

$$\psi_i^* (\Psi\Psi^*)^{-1} \psi_j = E_i^* E_j = \delta_{ij} I. \tag{21}$$

Substituting (21) into (18),

$$\Sigma_i \Sigma_j = \delta_{ij} (\Psi\Psi^*)^{-1/2} \psi_i \psi_i^* (\Psi\Psi^*)^{-1/2} = \delta_{ij} \Sigma_i \tag{22}$$

and the LSM is a Von Neumann measurement consisting of mutual orthogonal projection operators.

We summarize our results regarding the LSM in the following theorem.

Theorem 2. *Let $\{\rho_i, 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ be a quantum state ensemble consisting of linearly independent density operators ρ_i with prior probabilities $p_i > 0$. Then the least-squares measurement is a Von Neumann measurement with measurement operators $\{\Sigma_i = P_{\mathcal{S}_i}, 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ where $P_{\mathcal{S}_i}$ is an orthogonal projection onto an r_i-dimensional subspace $\mathcal{S}_i$ of $\mathcal{H}$ with $r_i = rank(\rho_i)$ and $P_{\mathcal{S}_i} P_{\mathcal{S}_j} = \delta_{ij} P_{\mathcal{S}_i}$.*

Acknowledgments

The author is a Horev Fellow supported by the Taub Foundation.

---

[1] A. Peres, *Quantum Theory: Concepts and Methods* (Boston: Kluwer, 1995).

[2] A. Peres, Found. Phys. **20**, 1441 (1990).

[3] A. Peres and W. K. Wootters, Phys. Rev. Lett. **66**, 1119 (1991).

[4] A. S. Holevo, J. Multivar. Anal. **3**, 337 (1973).

[5] H. P. Yuen, R. S. Kennedy, and M. Lax, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory **IT-21**, 125 (1975).

[6] Y. C. Eldar, A. Megretski, and G. C. Verghese, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory **49** (2003).

[7] C. W. Helstrom, *Quantum Detection and Estimation Theory* (New York: Academic Press, 1976).

[8] M. Charbit, C. Bendjaballah, and C. W. Helstrom, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory **35**, 1131 (1989).

[9] M. Osaki, M. Ban, and O. Hirota, Phys. Rev. A **54**, 1691 (1996).

[10] M. Ban, K. Kurokawa, R. Momose, and O. Hirota, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **36**, 1269 (1997).

[11] Y. C. Eldar and G. D. Forney, Jr., IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory **47**, 858 (2001).

[12] Y. C. Eldar, A. Megretski, and G. C. Verghese, quant-ph/0211111 (2002).

[13] R. S. Kennedy, Tech. Rep. 110, MIT Res. Lab. Electron. Quart. Progr. Rep. (1973).

[14] P. Hausladen and W. K. Wootters, J. Mod. Opt. **41**, 2385 (1994).

[15] P. Hausladen, R. Josza, B. Schumacher, M. Westmoreland, and W. K. Wootters, Phys. Rev. A **54**, 1869 (1996).

[16] A. S. Holevo, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory **44**, 269 (1998).

[17] M. Sasaki, K. Kato, M. Izutsu, and O. Hirota, Phys. Rev. A **58**, 146 (1998).

[18] M. Sasaki, T. Sasaki-Usuda, M. Izutsu, and O. Hirota, Phys. Rev. A **58**, 159 (1998).

[19] K. Kato, M. Osaki, M. Sasaki, and O. Hirota, IEEE Trans. Commun **47**, 248 (1999).

[20] Otherwise we can transform the problem to a problem equivalent to the one considered here by reformulating the problem on the subspace spanned by the eigenvectors of $\{\rho_i, 1 \leq i \leq m\}$.